1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	JULIUS CARTER ANDERSON,
9	Plaintiff, No. CIV S-03-0817 MCE KJM P
10	VS.
11	W. HARTLEY, et al., ORDER
12	Defendants.
13	/
14	On September 28, 2007, plaintiff filed a request for reconsideration of the
15	magistrate judge's order filed September 11, 2007, ordering that plaintiff file an opposition to
16	defendants' motion for summary judgment within thirty days. Pursuant to E.D. Local Rule 72-
17	303(f), a magistrate judge's orders shall be upheld unless "clearly erroneous or contrary to law."
18	Upon review of the entire file, the court finds that it does not appear that the magistrate judge's
19	ruling was clearly erroneous or contrary to law.
20	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that, upon reconsideration, the order of the
21	magistrate judge filed September 11, 2007, is affirmed.
22	Dated: October 12, 2007
23	Mount L.
24	MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

26